

QUARTERLY REPORT

SEPTEMBER 2022



MONEGHETTI
MINERALS

INDUSTRY

GOLD MARKET

The gold price fell 6.15% in the quarter ended 30 September 2022 (Q3, the Period) to end the period at US\$1,620 per ounce,¹ the lowest level in more than two years.

The average market price for gold in Q3 was US\$1,729 per ounce, influenced by a stronger USD (reaching 20-year highs) and real rate rises.

Notably, by the end of Q3, gold had only shed 5.7% from January which is markedly better than both the main US indexes which declined 20% or more during the same time frame.

The World Gold Council's Head of Research, Mr Juan Carlos Artigas said "Looking forward, while we expect interest rates and the US dollar to continue to weigh on gold's performance, we remain cautiously optimistic."

"For one, given how much tightening has occurred so far - including recent rate hikes by the US Fed, Bank of England and Swiss National Bank - we would expect monetary policy to slow down, allowing some of gold's other supporting factors to play a more important role," he said.

Historical gold price performance during the Period



Source: Gold.org

¹ Gold Price Update: Q3 2022 in Review, investingnews.com (6 October 2022)





ESG

RESPONSIBLY SOURCED GOLD

Moneghetti is a purpose-native business, establishing a culture committed to environmental, social and governance (ESG) practices from the very beginning. The Company is focused on achieving excellent shareholder returns, underpinned by responsibly sourced gold and minerals with transparency and integrity for all stakeholders.

The Company's Responsibly Sourced Gold initiative focuses on building best practice in six core areas; Sustainability, Clean Mining, Strong Governance, Community Engagement, Upholding Human Rights, and Respect for the Environment.

During the Period, the Company identified a short list of potential Sustainability Officers. While initial discussions took place during the quarter, the appointment has yet to be made and is expected to be announced in the coming months. In addition to improving reporting and stakeholder engagement on ESG and coordinating the Company's efforts to meet its Responsibly Sourced Gold initiatives, the new Sustainability Officer will be managing Moneghetti's water rights, stakeholder and community engagement in Nevada as well as minimal disturbance practices in exploration.

The Digging Deep Workplace Giving Program continued to be supported during the quarter. The focus will remain on Australian contributions, while alternative platforms and charities will be incorporated for US participation following the Company's listing.

Moneghetti's commitment to safety and well-being is an important part of the Company's developing culture. The Company is outlining clear definitions of safety culture before high-impact drilling campaigns are rolled out. Safety leaders are ahead of the curve, endeavouring to identify and resolve issues before problems occur. The Board and Management team are developing an internal safety communications process to increase awareness of safety topics and transfer knowledge and experience within the team to empower staff and contractors.





PROJECTS

ECRU

The E cru project (E cru) comprises 112 unpatented contiguous lode mining claims located to the North of the Pipeline-Cortez Hills-Gol drush and Robertson deposit cluster on the famous Cortez/Battle Mountain Trend in Lander County, Nevada.

The Company entered into an option agreement in 2021 with Orogen Royalties (TSXV: OGN, Orogen) to acquire 100% of the E cru project and later expanded the claim to include mineral rights to new highly prospective ground through a sub-lease arrangement with Barrick Gold (NYSE: GOLD, Barrick) and Newmont's (NYSE: NEM) joint venture company, Nevada Gold Mines (NGM).



Moneghetti plans to drill E cru with IPO funding, exploring for a large, Carlin-type system comparable to those occurring in the world-class district.

During the Period, Moneghetti completed a preliminary soil sampling program as part of its staged work program and successfully met its first earn-in milestone.

The soil program included 642 samples at 75-metre spacing, including QA/QC. The samples were positioned over bedrock and shallow pediment with an emphasis on characterising the soil profile and collecting a quality sample. Analysis will include a full elemental suite, along with clay examination (Figure 1).






Figure 1: Sampling target locations and area of interest

Moneghetti's team is highly skilled in this particular jurisdiction and emphasises an approach to exploration that is multi-disciplined, phased and extremely critical of the geology.

In the June 2022 quarter, Moneghetti announced the appointment of highly-regarded, US-based exploration geologist, Ms Nancy Richter as its new Technical Director. Ms Richter and Exploration Manager, Mr Kyle Larson, have more than 40 years' combined experience exploring for giant Carlin-type gold projects in Nevada with a successful track record.

Previous drilling at Ecu was based on geophysics and wide-spaced (400m) soils over significant cover without anchoring the geology or collecting a robust geochemical dataset to bring context to the target concept.

Two core holes were drilled by S2 (ASX: S2R) in 2018 using gravity and AMT to target Carlin-style mineralisation hosted in lower plate carbonate rocks. Neither hole penetrated the target stratigraphy, but one hole did intersect significant gold mineralisation up to 3.4 grams per tonne in upper plate rocks with a geochemical signature very similar to the adjacent Robertson project.

Results from the soil sampling campaign are expected in Q4 2022.

On 30 September 2022, the Company met its first expenditure milestone of the option agreement.



PROJECTS

NEW PROJECT ACQUISITION

The Company is in the process of finalising the 100% acquisition of a brownfields gold exploration project in Nevada, USA. The project location remains sensitive information until the Company has completed its staking process and secured the ground, which is located along one of the world's most prospective gold trends.

The project is an early-stage, high-level carbonate-hosted gold project with previous exploration, including eight wide-spaced RC holes for more than 2,000 metres by Barrick Gold (NYSE: GOLD) in 2015.

PROJECTS

DOLLY VARDEN

Dolly Varden comprises 255 unpatented contiguous lode mining claims located South East of the mining hub of Elko County, Nevada. Given the risks associated with early-stage greenfield exploration outside the well-known gold trends in Nevada, the Company decided to withdraw from this project earn-in during the Period enabling a greater focus on a new prospective brownfields project acquisition.



Q3 2022

CORPORATE

During the Period, Moneghetti worked on expanding its strategic landholding in Nevada. While the market presented challenges over the Period resulting in low gold sentiment, the Company utilised this time to acquire a high-quality project and restructure its portfolio to strengthen its overall investment case.

Subsequent to signing a Letter of Intent to acquire 100% of an advanced gold exploration project in Q2 2022, Moneghetti completed its due diligence and conducted final negotiations with the vendor. The acquisition agreement is expected to be finalised in Q4 2022. Located in the highly prospective Battle Mountain-Eureka Trend, this acquisition will balance the Company's high-quality project portfolio ahead of listing, expected in 2023.

The cash balance for the Company as at 30 September 2022 was US\$97,225 and A\$262,184.

During the Period, Moneghetti appointed a part-time Chief Financial Officer (CFO) to support the Company's financial accounts management and reporting requirements, providing another layer of support and guidance to the Company Secretary and board. The Company has been run intentionally in a limited manner to conserve seed capital as much as possible, however building out the management team and providing the necessary checks and balances for financial matters is an important step change for Moneghetti's growth and preparation for the pending public listing. Additional information about the CFO and her appointment will be provided in due course.

The Company held its Annual General Meeting and a Special General Meeting on 15 July 2022, during which, the cancellation of 1,000,000 Shares previously issued to a local driller for MON's project acquisition in WA that did not go ahead, was approved. It was identified that the Company's audited financial accounts were not provided at the time, which was an internal oversight but has been rectified and posted to the corporate website. The Company accepts full responsibility for this administrative error and believes with the additional support of the CFO, will successfully meet all compliance requirements and maintain a high level of governance and transparency going forward.

On 15 August 2022, the Company announced a Pre-Emptive Offer (the Offer) to raise up to \$1.25M worth of new shares at A\$0.10 a share. The Offer provided first right of refusal to its eligible existing shareholders, prior to opening an Institutional Placement in Q4 2022 (the Placement). Moneghetti is proud to report the Offer has received a strong uptake and the indicative closing date has been extended to afford to the Company the opportunity to meet in person with the top shareholders to discuss participation. Moneghetti expects to close the Offer within a few weeks, which will enable the Placement to open, most likely in November 2022.



Prior to the Period, Moneghetti announced the appointment of Ms Nancy Richter as Technical Director on 14 June 2022. Ms Richter is a US-based exploration geologist with nearly 30 years of experience exploring for and developing Carlin-type gold projects in Nevada.

During the Period, Moneghetti conducted an Australian roadshow to generate interest from Institutional and private investors ahead of its Institutional Placement and forthcoming IPO. It is expected that the Company will announce the appointment of a new Lead Manager for the listing, as they are reviewing new broker mandates and finalising transaction terms. It is anticipated that the new broker appointment will also support the pending Placement, once the Offer to MON shareholders has closed.

Q3 2022

TENEMENTS

Appendix [A] is submitted with respect to the mining tenements held, and their location by the Company and its controlled entities at the end of the quarter.

During the Period, the Dolly Varden claims were disposed of and an earn-in agreement was entered into for a new project, which is expected to be finalised and announced next quarter.

COMPETENT PERSON'S STATEMENTS

The information in this presentation that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Dr Michael Cunningham. Dr Cunningham has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the JORC Code)'. Dr Cunningham is the Consulting Geologist of the Company and is a member of the AusIMM and AIG. Dr Cunningham consents to the inclusion of the information in the form and context in which it appears.

Dr Cunningham is a full-time employee of Sonny Consulting Services Pty Ltd and has been engaged by the company as an independent contractor and Consulting Geologist.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This announcement may contain certain "forward-looking statements" which may not have been based solely on historical facts, but rather may be based on the Company's current expectations about future events and results. Where the Company expresses or implies an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have reasonable basis. However, forward-looking statements are subjected to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors, which could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed, projected or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks include, but are not limited to resource risk, metals price volatility, currency fluctuations, increased production costs and variances in ore grade or recovery rates from those assumed in mining plans, as well as political and operational risks in the Countries and States in which we operate or sell product to, and governmental regulation and judicial outcomes. For a more detailed discussion of such risks and other factors, see the Company's annual reports, as well as the Company's other filings. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information. The Company does not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to any "forward-looking statements" to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this announcement, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.



APPENDIX A: DOLLY VARDEN EXPLORATION RESULTS - JORC TABLE 1 REPORTING

Section 1: Sampling techniques and data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	20 Rock chip samples were collected by random chip sampling on outcropping quartz with a geological hammer of about fist size material to make a collective sample weight of about 0.5-2kg.
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.	Rock material that comprised the samples were selected randomly without bias to material appearance to give an accurate representation of the sample being collected.
	Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information	Samples were dried, crushed with a 500g split pulverised (total prep). Samples were analysed using a 30-element aqua regia digest ICP/OES and ICP/MS (Code ME-MS41) and gold by fire assay with an ICP/AES finish (Code Au-ICP22 with Au-GRA22 check). Additional copper analysis was undertaken using Cu-OG46.



Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	No drilling is reported.
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	No drilling is reported.
	Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	No drilling is reported.
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	No drilling is reported.
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	No drilling is reported.
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.	No drilling is reported.
	The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	No drilling is reported.



Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.	No drilling is reported.
	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.	No drilling is reported.
	For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	Samples were dried, crushed with a 500g split pulverised (total prep). The Company considers this to be a reasonable preparation method. No sub-sampling was undertaken and no drilling is reported.
	Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.	No sub-sampling was undertaken.
	Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.	No duplicate or second-half sampling was undertaken.
	Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	The sample sizes are considered appropriate for quartz material collected and the assay methods utilised.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	Samples were dried, crushed with a 500g split pulverised (total prep). Samples were analysed using a 30-element aqua regia digest ICP/OES and ICP/MS (Code ME-MS41) and gold by fire assay with an ICP/AES finish (Code Au-ICP22 with Au-GRA22 check). Additional copper analysis was undertaken using Cu-OG46: This was undertaken at ALS Laboratories in Nevada which has an appropriate quality certification. The technique is considered to be a total assay method which is reasonable for the reported of rock chip sampling assay results



	For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	The use of geophysical methods is not reported.
	Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.	No standards or blanks were inserted into the 20 rock chip sample program. The laboratory used has suitable quality accreditation. No accuracy or precision levels have been established.
Verification of sampling and assaying	The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.	No drilling is reported.
	The use of twinned holes.	No drilling is reported.
	Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.	Primary data (samples location points) were recorded by handheld GPS. All digital assay data undergo verification before being uploaded into the digital project database.
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	No adjustments were made to the assay data. All data is reported to the second decimal place.
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drillholes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	A hand held GPS was used to record the rock chip sample location points.



	Specification of the grid system used.	
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	The hand held GPS is considered to be a reasonable topographic control for the rock chip samples reported.
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	Random rock chip sampling was undertaken.
	Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	The data spacing and distribution of the rock chip samples is not sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for any Mineral Resource estimates.
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	No sample compositing was applied.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	No orientated sampling was undertaken, and no drilling is reported.
	If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	No drilling is reported.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Chain of custody is documented. Rejects were not retained.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	No audits have been undertaken as audits and reviews are not considered to be material at this stage of exploration.



Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	The Company has entered into an option agreement with the Dolly Varden Vendors pursuant to which the Company (though its wholly owned subsidiary, Lamarck) has an option to acquire 100% of the legal and beneficial interest in 30 of the claims (Dolly Varden Claims) comprising the Dolly Project (Dolly Varden Option Agreement). In February 2021, Lamarck engaged a consulting geologist to stake and register an additional 225 claims (Dolly Varden Extended Claims) surrounding and contiguous to the Dolly Varden Claims, for a total of 255 claims. The Dolly Varden Extended claims fall within the Area of Influence (AOI) noted in the Dolly Varden Option Agreement. Further information is given in the Prospectus.
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	Information relating to the status of the tenure at the date of the Offer is given in the Prospectus. There are no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the project area.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	<p>Historical exploration on the tenure is limited to geochemical sampling and regional geophysics.</p> <p>The 20 rock chip samples reported formed part of the Company's investment due diligence into the project.</p>



Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	<p>Dolly Varden is located within the Dolly Varden mountains. Permian aged limestone has been intruded by a Mesozoic aged granite pluton and younger Cainozoic (Tertiary) ignimbrite flows (resulting from volcanic eruption). Major faults trend north-south including thrust and reverse faulting and a subordinate set of northeast striking faults. These offset the ignimbrites meaning the fault episode is probably late Cainozoic in age.</p> <p>Mineralisation is associated with quartz veins and hematite stained chalcedonic and magnetic breccias that intersect the granite pluton. Specifically, breccia mineralisation occurs at the margins of the pluton, hosting gold-bismuth quartz vein stockworks and sheeted veins with local disseminated pyrite and variable hematite and goethite.</p>
Drill hole Information	<p>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • easting and northing of the drill hole collar • elevation or RL (Reduced Level) • elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar • dip and azimuth of the hole • down hole length and interception depth hole length. 	No drilling is reported.



Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	No weighted averages or cut-off grades were used.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	No intercepts or drilling is reported.
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	No metal equivalents are reported
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').	No drilling is reported.
Diagram	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Appropriate maps and tabulations are contained in the Prospectus.



	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	All significant results are reported.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	No additional substantive exploration data is reported.
Further work	<p>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</p> <p>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</p>	The future work program will comprise a magnetic survey and shallow drilling to test the grade and continuity of the NW striking quartz vein set identified at surface.



APPENDIX B: STATUS OF TENURE

Appendix B Table 1: Status of Claims for the Ecrú Project, Nevada

District	Area (km ²)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 1	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 2	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 3	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 4	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 5	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 6	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 7	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 8	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 9	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 10	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 11	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.



District	Area (km2)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 12	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 13	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 14	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 15	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 16	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 17	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 18	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 19	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 20	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 21	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 22	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 23	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.



District	Area (km2)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 24	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 25	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 26	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 27	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 28	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 29	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 30	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 31	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 32	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 33	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 34	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 35	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.



District	Area (km2)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 36	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 37	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 38	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 39	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 40	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 41	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 42	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 43	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 44	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 45	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 46	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 47	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.



District	Area (km2)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 48	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 49	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 50	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 51	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 52	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 53	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 54	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 55	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 56	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 57	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 58	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 59	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.



District	Area (km2)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 60	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 61	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 62	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 63	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 64	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 65	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 66	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 67	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 68	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 69	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 70	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 71	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.



District	Area (km2)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 72	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 73	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 74	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 75	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 76	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 77	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 78	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 79	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 80	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 81	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 82	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 83	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.



District	Area (km2)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 84	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 85	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 86	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 87	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 88	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 89	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 90	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 91	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 92	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 93	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 94	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 95	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.



District	Area (km2)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 96	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 97	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 98	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 99	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 100	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 101	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 102	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 103	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 104	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 105	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 106	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 107	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.



District	Area (km2)	Tenement	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Registered Holder/Applicant
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 108	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 113	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 114	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 115	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.
Battle Mountain District, Lander County, Nevada, USA	0.084	ECRU 116	23/12/13	1/9/23	Kinetic Gold (US) Inc.





MONEGHETTI

MINERALS